Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	m.	09/029,425	MULLER, RUDOLF	
	ır y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>				
(1) Jermie Cozart.		(3)		
(2) <u>Greg DeGrazia</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 February 2005		Time: <u>9:40AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .		nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENER	AL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sediment. 	tion. The	examiner will provide ecord of the substance	a written summary of the su of the interview, since the in	bstance
•				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	nnligent/	Applicant's Passage at	tive Signature – if appropria	
(Livariance) (A	ppiicaliu	Jahinani a Mahisasiin	uve oignature – ir appropria	(G)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Greg DeGrazia, the Examiner informed Mr. DeGrazia that no response had been received in response to the restriction requirement mailed 8/5/04. Mr. DeGrazia informed the Examiner that the application had been transferred to another law firm.